

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/602,417	06/23/2003	Dean M. Walker	WALK.01USU1	9600	
7590 04/15/2005			EXAMINER		
The Law Offices of William W. Cochran, LLC			PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C		
Suite 230 3555 Stanford Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80525			3671		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2009	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/602,417	WALKER, DEAN	WALKER, DEAN M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		Meredith C Petravio	k 3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>28 January 2005</u> .					
· —	•	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date 3/2/2004.	-948) Pa D/SB/08) 5)	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date stice of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sewell 2,809,705, in view of Wenzel 5,077,959 and Smith 3,154,903.

Sewell discloses a self propelled walk behind mower including a tractor unit having left and right drive wheels (2), a pivoting tail wheel (3) and a mower deck (1) is on the forward end of the mower.

However, Sewell does not disclose using a hydrostatic drive to independently power the drive wheels or making the mower deck pivot up and down and tilt side to side.

Like Sewell, Wenzel discloses a self-propelled mower with drive wheels (17). Unlike Sewell, Wenzle teaching making the drive wheel independently powered by hydrostatic drive units (24). Wenzle teaches that using this type of hydrostatic dive allows for more precise mowing (see Background of Invention).

Like Sewell, Smith discloses a self-propelled mower with a mower deck on the front end.

Unlike Wenzel, Smith teaches making the mower deck pivot up and down (Col. 1, lines 30-33)

Art Unit: 3671

and tilt side-to-side (Fig. 5). Smith states that this allows the mower deck to follow the contours of the ground, which prevents uneven cutting of the grass (Col. 1, lines 17-22).

It would have been obvious to one a having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make drive the drive wheels of the mower in Sewell independently with hydrostatic drive units as in Wenzel, in order to have more precise mowing and to make the mower deck of Sewell able to pivot up and down and tilt side to side, in order to cut the grass more evenly.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meredith C Petravick whose telephone number is 571-272-6995. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 a.m.- 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,417 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Meredith C Petravick Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

April 13, 2005